



General Practice Assessment Questionnaire

MANUAL
for
GENERAL PRACTICE ASSESSMENT QUESTIONNAIRE

GPAQ V3

December 2011

This questionnaire has been developed by the Cambridge Centre for Health Services Research at the University of Cambridge in collaboration with Peninsula Medical School. GPAQ was originally developed from the PCAS survey with permission of Dr Dana Gelb Safran



Contents

1. Background

- 1.1 Introduction
- 1.2 How was the General Practice Assessment Questionnaire (GPAQ) developed?
- 1.3 Why are there no longer two versions of GPAQ?
- 1.4 How does the new version of GPAQ help practices meet the new DES?

2. Permission to use GPAQ

- 2.1 GPAQ: terms for use
- 2.2 Copyright
- 2.3 Commercial services for analysing GPAQ

3. Choosing a sample for the survey

- 3.1 Sample Size: How many questionnaires do you need to collect for GPAQ surveys?
- 3.2 Sample Quality
 - 3.2.1 Take time to make sure the sample is correct
 - 3.2.2 Patients who don't speak English

4. Running a Survey

- 4.1 By Post or In Surgery?
- 4.2 Running a survey by post
- 4.3 Running a survey in the surgery
- 4.4 Mixing methods
- 4.5 Running the survey by e-mail or via the practice website
- 4.6 Using GPAQ with children and ethnic minority groups

5. More about the questions

6. Analysis

- 6.1 Options for analysis
- 6.2 Why are there no longer "scales" as there were in earlier versions of GPAQ?
- 6.3 Should GPAQ scores be calculated for a practice or for individual doctors?
- 6.4 Balancing results for the practice where doctors work different hours
- 6.5 Dealing with the results
- 6.6 Benchmarking GPAQ scores

Appendix 1 Taking action on GPAQ scores

Appendix 2 Contributors to the development of GPAQ

References

Appendix 2. Contributors to the development of GPAQ

GPAQ was developed from the GPAS questionnaire which has been used in research at the former National Primary Care Research and Development Centre (NPCRDC) at Manchester University over many years. The original GPAS questionnaire was developed from the Primary Care Assessment Survey (PCAS) with the assistance of Dr Dana Gelb Safran and the New England Medical Center Hospitals, who shares the copyright of GPAQ.

Martin Roland, Director of NPCRDC led the team that developed GPAQ. Nicki Mead, Pete Bower, Sophie Jerrim and Stephen Campbell, all research staff at NPCRDC in Manchester, were all involved in the development and validation of the questionnaires. Professor Roland moved to Cambridge in 2009, from where GPAQ is now administered.

Professor John Campbell of Peninsular Medical School has also contributed to the research we have done on patient questionnaires. Professor Campbell and Professor Roland also act as academic advisers to the Department of Health and IPSOS MORI on the development of the national GP Patient Survey (www.gp-patient.co.uk)

References

- 1 Gelb Safran D et al. The Primary Care Assessment Survey. Tests of data quality and measurement performance. *Medical Care* 1998; 36: 728-39
- 2 Gelb Safran D et al. Linking primary care performance to outcomes of care. *Journal of Family Practice* 1998; 47: 213-220.
- 3 Murray A, Gelb Safran D. The Primary Care Assessment Survey: a tool for measuring , monitoring and improving primary care. In: Maruish M (ed) *Handbook of Psychological Assessment in Primary Care Settings*. 1999. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- 4 Taira DA et al. Asian American patient ratings of physician primary care performance. *Journal of General Internal Medicine* 1997; 12: 237-242
- 5 Ramsay J, Campbell J, Schroter S, Green J, Roland M. The General Practice Assessment Survey (GPAS): tests of data quality and measurement properties. *Family Practice* 2000; 17: 372-379
- 6 Campbell JL, Ramsay J, Green J. Age, gender, socioeconomic, and ethnic differences in patients' assessments of primary health care. *Qual Health Care*. 2001 Jun;10(2):90-5
- 7 Bower P, Mead N, Roland M. What dimensions underlie patient responses to the General Practice Assessment Survey? A factor analytic study. *Fam Pract*. 2002 Oct;19(5):489-95.
- 8 Bower P, Roland M, Campbell J, Mead N. Setting standards based on patients' views on access and continuity: secondary analysis of data from the general practice assessment survey. *British Medical Journal* 2003; 236: 258-60.
- 9 Bower P, Roland MO. Bias in patient assessments on general practice: General Practice Assessment Survey scores in surgery and postal responders. *British Journal of General Practice* 2003; 53: 126-128.
- 10 Roland M, Elliott M, Lyratzopoulos G, Barbiere J, Parker R, Smith P, Bower P, Campbell J. Reliability of patient responses in pay for performance schemes: analysis of national General Practitioner Patient Survey data in England. *British Medical Journal* 2009; 339: b3851
- 11 Mead N, Roland M. Understanding why some ethnic minority patients evaluate medical care more negatively: cross-sectional analysis of a routine patient survey in English primary care. *British Medical Journal* 2009; 339: b3450.
- 12 Kontopantelis E, Roland M, Reeves D. Patient experience of access to primary care: identification of predictors in a national patient survey. *BMC Family Practice* 2010; 11: 61
www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2296/11/61
- 13 Abel G, Mavaddat N, Elliott M, Lyratzopoulos G, Roland M. Primary care experience of people with longstanding psychological problems: evidence from a national UK survey. *International Review of Psychiatry* 2011; 23 (1): 2-9.
- 14 Lyratzopoulos G, Elliott MN, Barbiere JM, Staetsky L, Paddison CA, Campbell J, Roland M. How can Health Care Organizations be Reliably Compared? Lessons From a National Survey of Patient Experience. *Medical Care*. 2011 Aug;49(8):724-33)
- 15 Lyratzopoulos G, Elliott M, Barbiere J, Henderson A, Staetsky L, Paddison C, Campbell J, Roland M. Understanding ethnic and other socio-demographic differences in patient experience of primary care: evidence from the English General Practice Patient Survey. *BMJ Quality and Safety* 2011 Sep 9. [Epub ahead of print]